arXiv:1607.05648v1 [math.SP] 19 Jul 2016

SHARP SPECTRAL ESTIMATES FOR THE PERTURBED LANDAU HAMILTONIAN WITH L^p POTENTIALS

JEAN-CLAUDE CUENIN

Abstract. We establish a sharp estimate on the size of the spectral clusters of the Landau Hamiltonian with L^p potentials in two dimensions as the cluster index tends to infinity. In three dimensions, we prove a new limiting absorption principle as well as a unique continuation theorem. The results generalize to higher dimensions.

1. Introduction

Consider the Hamiltonian $H_{0,\perp}$ of a particle confined to a two-dimensional surface in a constant magnetic field $B = (0, 0, 1)$, perpendicular to the surface. In the symmetric gauge, the so-called Landau Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{0,\perp} = \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{2}\right)^2 + \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{2}\right)^2, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{1.1}$$

The spectrum of $H_{0,\perp}$ is purely discrete,

$$\sigma(H_{0,\perp}) = \{\lambda_k = 2k + 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

and each eigenvalue λ_k (called the k-th Landau level) is of infinite multiplicity. We are interested in the spectrum of the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{0,\perp} + V \tag{1.2}$$

where V is a (complex-valued) potential. In particular, we derive sharp bounds on the location of the spectrum of H lying in the k_0-th spectral cluster

$$\Lambda_{k_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda_{k_0} - \mathrm{Re} z| \leq 1\} \tag{1.3}$$

as $k_0 \to \infty$. If $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then by standard perturbation theory

$$\sigma(H) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\lambda_k - z| \leq \|V\|_\infty\}.$$

On the other hand, if V is a real-valued smooth function of compact support, then it was shown in [8] that

$$\sigma(H) \cap \Lambda_{k_0} \subset [\lambda_{k_0} - C\lambda_{k_0}^{-1/2}, \lambda_{k_0} + C\lambda_{k_0}^{-1/2}] \tag{1.4}$$

for k_0 sufficiently large. Here, $C > 0$ is a constant depending on $\|V\|_\infty$ and on the diameter of the support of V. This result was also proven in [10] by different techniques and for more general potentials, namely for continuous V such that

$$\|V\|_{X_\rho} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} (1 + x^2)^{\rho/2} |V(x)| < \infty$$

for some $\rho > 1$. The $O(\lambda_{k_0}^{-1/2})$-decay for the size of the k_0-th cluster is sharp, i.e. the eigenvalue clusters have size $\geq c\lambda_{k_0}^{-1/2}$ for some $c > 0$ unless $V \equiv 0$. Moreover,

the constant C in (1.4) depends only on $\|V\|_{X_\rho}$. For $\rho < 1$, the optimal decay rate of the spectral cluster size is $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_{k_0}^{-\rho/2})$ [9].

The aim of this note is twofold: First we obtain estimates on the size of the spectral clusters that depend only on an L^p-norm of V. The result (Theorem 2.1) holds more generally in all even dimensions. Second, when the dimension is odd, we prove an L^p limiting absorption principle (Theorem 3.2) and a unique continuation theorem (Theorem 3.3).

We will use the standard notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a non-negative constant C such that $a \leq Cb$. If we want to emphasize the dependence of the constant on some parameter J, we write $a \lesssim_J b$. If $a \lesssim b \lesssim a$, we write $a \approx b$.

2. Spectral cluster estimates in even dimensions

In $d = 2n$ dimensions, we consider the generalization of (2.1), i.e.

$$H_{0,\perp} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{y_j}{2}\right)^2 + \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \frac{x_j}{2}\right)^2, \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \tag{2.1}$$

Its eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_k := 2k + n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $r \in [d/2, \infty]$, is a (possibly complex-valued) potential. The perturbed operator (defined in the sense of sectorial forms) then satisfies the spectral estimate [1, Theorem 5.1]

$$\sigma(H) \subset \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathrm{Im} z|^{1-\frac{d}{2r}} \lesssim 1 + \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\}.$$

In particular, if $r > d/2$, this implies that $|\mathrm{Im} z| \lesssim 1$ for all $z \in \sigma(H)$. Our main result is the following refinement.

Theorem 2.1 (Shrinking spectral clusters)**.** *Let $d \in 2\mathbb{N}$, and let $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $r \in [d/2, \infty]$. Then there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k_0 \geq K$ we have*

$$\sigma(H) \cap \Lambda_{k_0} \subset \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \delta(z) \lesssim \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lambda_{k_0}^{\nu(r)} \}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\delta(z) := \mathrm{dist}(z, \sigma(H_{0,\perp}))$ and

$$\nu(r) := \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2r} - 1, & \frac{d}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{d+1}{2}, \\ -\frac{1}{2r} & \frac{d+1}{2} \leq r \leq \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, the estimate is sharp in the following sense: For every $k_0 \geq K$ there exists $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, real-valued and $V \leq 0$, such that

$$\sigma(H) \cap \{ z \in \mathbb{R} : |z - \lambda_{k_0}| \approx \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lambda_{k_0}^{\nu(r)} \} \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.4}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be based on the following proposition (here $R_{0,\perp}$ denotes the resolvent of $H_{0,\perp}$).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $d \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and $q \in [2, 2d/(d-2)]$. Assume $z \in \Lambda_{k_0} \cap \rho(H_{0,\perp})$. Then*

$$\|R_{0,\perp}(z)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [1 + \delta(z)^{-1}] \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\rho(q) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} & 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}, \\ \frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{d}{q} & \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} \leq q \leq \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. 1. We first prove the bound

$$\|R_{0,\perp}(z)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [1+\delta(z)^{-1}]\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)/2}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let P_k be the spectral projection onto the eigenspace corresponding to λ_k. The dual version of the spectral projection estimates in [6] reads

$$\|P_k\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \lambda_k^{\rho(q)/2}, \tag{2.8}$$

By orthogonality of the spectral projections, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_{0,\perp}(z)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|z-\lambda_k|^2}\|P_kf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_k^{\rho(q)}}{|z-\lambda_k|^2}\\ &\lesssim \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}\left(1+\delta(z)^{-1}\right)^2\|f\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the following estimate in the last step,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_k^{\rho(q)}}{|z-\lambda_k|^2} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda_k\le\lambda_{k_0}/2}\frac{\lambda_k^{\rho(q)}}{\lambda_{k_0}^2} + \sum_{\lambda_{k_0}/2<\lambda_k}\frac{\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}}{|\lambda_k-z|^2} \lesssim \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}\left(1+\delta(z)^{-1}\right)^2.$$

This proves (2.7).

2. Let $u\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Set $f:=(H_{0,\perp}-z)u$ and $\mu:=\mathrm{Re}z$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mu>1$. We claim that

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{2(d+1)}}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}}(B_{\sqrt{\mu}}(x_0))} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(B_{2\sqrt{\mu}}(x_0))} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2(d+1)}}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d+3}}(B_{2\sqrt{\mu}}(x_0))} \tag{2.9}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of u,μ and x_0. Proceeding as in [6] we set

$$\overline{x}:=\frac{x-x_0}{\sqrt{\mu}},\quad \overline{y}:=\frac{y-y_0}{\sqrt{\mu}} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\overline{u}(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(x_0y-y_0x)}u(x,y),\quad \overline{f}(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(x_0y-y_0x)}f(x,y). \tag{2.11}$$

We then have

$$L_\mu\overline{u} = \mu\overline{f}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$L_\mu := \sum_{j=1}^n\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\mu}{2}\,y_j\right)^2+\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}-\frac{\mu}{2}\,x_j\right)^2-\mu^2-\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{Im}z)\mu.$$

The operator L_μ is obviously normal and satisfies the assumptions of [7, Theorem 7] with $\delta\approx\mu^{-1}$ there. From (2.12) and [7, Theorem 7 B)] it then follows that

$$\|\overline{u}\|_{W_\mu^{\frac{1}{d+1},\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}}(B_1(0))} \lesssim \mu^{1/2}\|\overline{u}\|_{L^2(B_2(0)}+\mu\|\overline{f}\|_{W_\mu^{-\frac{1}{d+1},\frac{2(d+1)}{d+3}}(B_2(0))}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here,

$$W_\mu^{s,p}:=\{u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d):(\mu^2-\Delta)^{s/2}u\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\},\quad \|u\|_{W_\mu^{s,p}}:=\|(\mu^2-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_{L^p}.$$

Note that in the region $\{|\xi|\lesssim\mu\}$, we have $\|u\|_{W_\mu^{s,p}}\approx\mu^s\|u\|_{L^p}$, while in the (elliptic) region $\{|\xi|\gg\mu\}$, we have $\|u\|_{W_\mu^{s,p}}\gtrsim\mu^s\|u\|_{L^p}$ for $s\ge0$ and $\|u\|_{W_\mu^{s,p}}\lesssim\mu^s\|u\|_{L^p}$

for $s \leq 0$. These estimates follow from standard Bernstein inequalities, see e.g. [13, Appendix A]. Therefore, (2.13) implies that

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \|\overline{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}}(B_1(0))} \lesssim \mu^{1/2} \|\overline{u}\|_{L^2(B_2(0)} + \mu \mu^{-\frac{1}{d+1}} \|\overline{f}\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d+3}}(B_2(0))}.$$

By the change of variables (2.10)–(2.11), this is equivalent to (2.9).

3. Summing (2.9) over a finitely overlapping partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$ into balls of radius $\sqrt{\mu}$ yields

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{2(d+1)}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2(d+1)}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d+3}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{2.14}$$

Recalling that $\mu = \lambda_{k_0} + \mathcal{O}(1)$, $f = (H_{0,\perp} - z)u$, $\rho(q) = 1/(d+1)$ for $q = 2(d+1)/(d-1)$, and combining (2.7) with (2.14), we arrive at

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim (1 + \delta(z)^{-1}) \lambda_{k_0}^{-\frac{1}{d+1}} \|(H_{0,\perp} - z)u\|_{L^{\frac{2(d+1)}{d+3}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a core for $H_{0,\perp}$ ([14]), the above inequality is equivalent to (2.5) with $q = 2(d+1)/(d-1)$; see e.g. the proof of [1, Theorem C.3] for details of this argument. The general case follows by interpolation between this case and the cases $q = 2$ and $q = 2d/(d-2)$. In the former case, (2.5) is trivial. In the latter case, it follows from [1, Theorem C.3]). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. 1. Let $q = 2r'$. We then have $\nu(r) = \rho(q)$ (see (2.3) and (2.6)), and $\nu(r) \leq 0$ for $r \in [d/2, \infty]$. Moreover, in this range of r, we have $q = 2r' \in [2, 2d/(d-2)]$. Assume $z \in \sigma(H) \cap \Lambda_{k_0}$. By the Birman-Schwinger principle, Hölder's inequality and (2.5) we have

$$1 \leq \|V^{1/2} R_{0,\perp}(z) |V|^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} [1 + \delta(z)^{-1}] \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}. \tag{2.15}$$

Here we have set $V^{1/2} := |V|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn}(V)$ with

$$\operatorname{sgn}(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{z}}{|z|} & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Choosing K so large that

$$C \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lambda_K^{\rho(q)} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we infer from (2.15) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq C \|V\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \delta(z)^{-1} \lambda^{\rho(q)}.$$

This proves (2.2).

2. In order to show that the result is sharp we claim that it is sufficient to prove that for any fixed $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (depending on k_0) such that $\|V\|_{L^r} = 1$, $V \leq 0$, and

$$\||V|^{1/2} P_{k_0} |V|^{1/2}\| \geq c_0 \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Here, c_0 is some k_0-independent constant. To prove the claim, we define the Birman-Schwinger operators

$$Q(z; V) := |V|^{1/2} R_{0,\perp}(z) |V|^{1/2}$$

where $z \in \rho(H_{0,\perp})$ and $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies (2.16). Since

$$Q'(z;V) = |V|^{1/2} R_{0,\perp}(z)^2 |V|^{1/2} \geq 0, \quad z \in \rho(H_{0,\perp}) \cap \mathbb{R},$$

and $\|Q(z;V)\| \lesssim \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)} < 1$ for $z \in [\lambda_{k_0} - 1/2, \lambda_{k_0} + 1/2] \setminus \{\lambda_{k_0}\}$ and k_0 sufficiently large, the claim will follow by a standard application of the Birman-Schwinger principle once we prove that there exists $V \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the operator $Q(a;V)$, with $a := \lambda_{k_0} - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}$, has an eigenvalue $\mu \geq 1$. We write

$$Q(a;V) = Q_0(a;V) + Q_1(a;V),$$

where

$$Q_0(z;V) := \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_0} - z} |V|^{1/2} P_{k_0} |V|^{1/2}, \quad Q_1(z;V) := \sum_{k \neq k_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - z} |V|^{1/2} P_k |V|^{1/2}.$$

By (2.5), we have $Q_1(a;V) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)})$. Moreover, since $Q_0(a;V)$ is nonnegative and compact [11, Lemma 5.1], it follows that $\mu_0(V) := \|Q_0(a;V)\|$ is its largest eigenvalue. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the corresponding normalized eigenfunction. Then

$$\|(Q(a;V) - \mu_0(V))\psi\|_2 = \|Q_1(a;V)\psi\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}).$$

Since $Q(a;V)$ is selfadjoint, this implies that

$$\sigma(Q(a;V)) \cap [\mu_0(V) - \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}), \mu_0(V) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)})] \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.17}$$

Choosing $c = c_0/2$, we have by (2.16)

$$\mu_0(V) = \|Q_0(a;V)\| = \frac{1}{c} \lambda_{k_0}^{-\rho(q)} \|V^{1/2} P_{k_0} V^{1/2}\| \geq \frac{c_0}{c} = 2. \tag{2.18}$$

It follows from (2.17) that $Q(a:V)$ has an eigenvalue $\mu \geq 1$ for k_0 sufficiently large.

3. It remains to prove the claim (2.16). We use the fact that the spectral projection estimates (2.8) are sharp. In the TT^* version, this means that

$$\|P_k\|_{L^{q'} \to L^q} \geq 2c_0 \lambda_k^{\rho(q)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.19}$$

By Hölder's inequality and a duality argument, we have

$$\|P_k\|_{L^{q'} \to L^q} = \sup_{\|W_1\|_{L^{2r}} = \|W_2\|_{L^{2r}} = 1} \|W_1 P_k W_2\|. \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle W_1 P_k W_2 f, g\rangle| &= |\langle P_k W_2 f, P_k W_1 g\rangle| \leq \|P_k W_2 f\| \|P_k W_1 g\| \\ &= \langle W_2 P_k W_2 f, f\rangle^{1/2} \langle W_1 P_k W_1 g, g\rangle^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|W_1 P_k W_1\|^{1/2} \|W_2 P_k W_2\|^{1/2} \|f\| \|g\|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|W_1 P_k W_2\| \leq \|W_1 P_k W_1\|^{1/2} \|W_2 P_k W_2\|^{1/2}. \tag{2.21}$$

Combining (2.19)–(2.21), we get

$$\sup_{\|W\|_{L^{2r}} = 1} \|W P_k W\| \geq 2c_0 \lambda_k^{\rho(q)}.$$

Therefore, we can choose a normalized $W \in L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|W P_{k_0} W\| \geq c_0 \lambda_{k_0}^{\rho(q)}.$$

The claim (2.16) follows with $V = W^2$. □

3. Limiting absorption principle and unique continuation in odd dimensions

3.1. **Limiting absorption principle.** Consider the Hamiltonian with constant magnetic field in $d = 2n + 1$ dimensions.

$$H_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_j}} + \frac{y_j}{2} \right)^2 + \left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial_{y_j}} - \frac{x_j}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}, \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

The spectrum of H_0 is purely absolutely continuous and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ play the role of thresholds. Writing $x_\perp = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $z = x_d \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the following mixed Lebesgue spaces:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_q &:= \left(L_{x_d}^{\frac{2}{1-2\rho(q)}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{x_d}^1(\mathbb{R}) \right) L_{x^\perp}^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \\
\mathcal{V}_q &:= \left(L_{x_d}^{-\frac{1}{2\rho(q)}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{x_d}^1(\mathbb{R}) \right) L_{x^\perp}^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \\
\mathcal{X}_q^* &:= \left(L_{x_d}^{\frac{2}{1+2\rho(q)}}(\mathbb{R}) + L_{x_d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right) L_{x^\perp}^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here q and r are related by $q = 2r'$. As before, we will sometimes omit $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ from the notation of Lebesgue spaces. The resolvents of H_0 and $H_0 + V$ will be denoted by R_0 and R, respectively.

Our main result in this subsection is the following limiting absorption principle.

Theorem 3.1 (Limiting Absorption Principle)**.** *Let $d \geq 3$, $d \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, $r \in (d/2, \infty)$ and $q = 2r' \in (2, 2d/(d-2))$. Let J be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. If $\|V\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}$ is sufficiently small, then*

$$\sup_{\substack{\lambda\in J \\ \epsilon\in[-1,1]\setminus\{0\}}} \|R(\lambda + \mathrm{i}\epsilon)\|_{\mathcal{X}_q \to \mathcal{X}_q^*} < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $z \in \Lambda_{k_0}$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < |\mathrm{Im} z| < 1$. Then for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_0(z) f(\cdot, x_d)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big\{ &|x_d - y_d|^{-1-2\rho(q)} \\
&+ k_0^{\rho(q)} (k_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \Big\} \|f(\cdot, y_d)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \, \mathrm{d}y_d
\end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here, Λ_{k_0}, $\delta(z)$ and $\rho(q)$ are defined in (1.3) *and*(2.6)*.*

Proof. We have

$$R_0(z) f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (P_k \otimes (-\partial_{x_d}^2 - (z - \lambda_k))^{-1}) f.$$

The resolvent kernel of $(-\partial_{x_d}^2 - \mu)^{-1}$ is given by

$$(-\partial_z^2 - \mu)^{-1}(x_d, y_d) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\mu}|x_d - y_d|}}{2\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\mu}}$$

where $\sqrt{\cdot} : \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}^+$ is the principal branch of the square root. Therefore,

$$R_0(z) f(\cdot, x_d) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{z-\lambda_k}|x_d - y_d|}}{2\mathrm{i}\sqrt{z - \lambda_k}} (P_k \otimes \mathbf{1}) f(\cdot, y_d) \, \mathrm{d}y_d.$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it follows that

$$\|R_0(z)f(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{z-\lambda_k}|x_d-y_d|}}{|z-\lambda_k|^{1/2}} \|(P_k \otimes \mathbf{1})f(\cdot,y_d)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}\, \mathrm{d}y_d.$$

Thus, by [6],

$$\|R_0(z)f(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\rho(q)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{z-\lambda_k}|x_d-y_d|}}{|z-\lambda_k|^{1/2}} \|f(\cdot,y_d)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}\, \mathrm{d}y_d.$$

By Fubini's theorem it remains to prove that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\rho(q)} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{z-\lambda_k}|t|}}{|z-\lambda_k|^{1/2}} \lesssim |t|^{-1-2\rho(q)} + k_0^{\rho(q)}(k_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{3.3}$$

We write $z = \lambda + \beta + \mathrm{i}\tau$, where $|\beta|, |\tau| \leq 1$, and $w = z - \lambda_k$. Then for $|k - k_0| \geq 1$ we have

$$|w|^2 = (2(k_0 - k) + \beta)^2 + \tau^2 \geq 2|k - k_0| - |\beta| \geq |k - k_0|.$$

Moreover, if $k > 2k_0$, then $\mathrm{Re}w < 0$, which implies that

$$\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{w} \geq \frac{\sqrt{|w|}}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2}, \quad k > 2k_0.$$

We can thus estimate the sum in (3.3) by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0-2} \frac{k^{\rho(q)}}{(k_0-k)^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{k_0+1} \frac{k^{\rho(q)}}{\delta(z)^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=k_0+2}^{2k_0} \frac{k^{\rho(q)}}{(k-k_0)^{1/2}} + \sum_{k>2k_0} \frac{k^{\rho(q)}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k}|t|}}{\sqrt{k/2}} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Consider the functions

$$(0, k_0 - 1) \ni r \mapsto \frac{r^{\rho(q)}}{(k_0 - r)^{1/2}},$$
$$(k_0 + 1, 2k_0) \ni r \mapsto \frac{r^{\rho(q)}}{(r - k_0)^{1/2}},$$
$$(2k_0, \infty) \ni r \mapsto \frac{r^{\rho(q)}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{r}|t|}}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

The last two are monotonically decreasing, while the first has a single change of monotonicity at $r = k_0^{-1}(1/(2\rho(q)) + 1)^{-1}$. Hence (3.3) is bounded by

$$\int_0^{k_0-1} \frac{r^{\rho(q)}}{(k_0-r)^{1/2}}\, \mathrm{d}r + 3\frac{k_0^{\rho(q)}}{\delta(z)^{1/2}} + \int_{k_0+1}^{2k_0} \frac{r^{\rho(q)}}{(r-k_0)^{1/2}}\, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{2k_0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{\rho(q)}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{r}|t|}}{\sqrt{r}}\, \mathrm{d}r + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Note that the errors made by replacing sums by integrals have been absorbed in the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ term. Splitting the first integral into a contribution from $\{r > k_0/2\}$ and its complement and changing variables $r \to t^2 r$ in the last integral, we finally obtain the estimate (3.3). □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. 1. Assume first that $V = 0$. By duality and by density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $\mathcal{X}_q$, it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{\substack{f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_q}=\|g\|_{\mathcal{X}_q}=1}} |\langle R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)f,g\rangle| \leq C \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\lambda \in J$ and $\epsilon \neq 0$, with a constant C independent of λ and ϵ. Hence, let $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.2, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)f,g\rangle| &= \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)f(\cdot,x_d), g(\cdot,x_d)\rangle_{L^2_{x^\perp}}\,\mathrm{d}x_d\right| \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)f(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^q_{x^\perp}} \|g(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}}\,\mathrm{d}x_d \\
&\lesssim_J \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{|x_d-y_d|^{-1-2\rho(q)}+1\right\} \|f(\cdot,y_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}} \|g(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}}\,\mathrm{d}y_d\,\mathrm{d}x_d.
\end{aligned}$$

By the one-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_d-y_d|^{-1-2\rho(q)} \|f(\cdot,y_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}} \|g(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}}\,\mathrm{d}y_d\,\mathrm{d}x_d \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p_{x_d}L^{q'}_{x^\perp}} \|g\|_{L^p_{x_d}L^{q'}_{x^\perp}},$$

where $p = \frac{2}{1-2\rho(q)}$, and we observe that[1]

$$q \in (2, 2d/(d-2)) \implies -\frac{1}{d+1} \leq \rho(q) < 0 \implies -2\rho(q) \in (0,1).$$

To finish the proof, we observe the trivial identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(\cdot,y_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}} \|g(\cdot,x_d)\|_{L^{q'}_{x^\perp}}\,\mathrm{d}y_d\,\mathrm{d}x_d = \|f\|_{L^1_{x_d}L^{q'}_{x^\perp}} \|g\|_{L^1_{x_d}L^{q'}_{x^\perp}}.$$

We conclude that

$$|\langle R_0(z)f,g\rangle| \lesssim_J \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_q}\|g\|_{\mathcal{X}_q},$$

and (3.4) follows.

2. Assume now $V \neq 0$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\||V|^{1/2}R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)V^{1/2}\| \leq \|V\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}\|R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)\|_{\mathcal{X}_q\to\mathcal{X}_q^*}.$$

Hence, by the first part of the proof,

$$\||V|^{1/2}R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)V^{1/2}\| < 1$$

whenever $\|V\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}$ is sufficiently small. Using the resolvent identity

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1R(z)W_2 &= W_1R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)W_2 \\
&- W_1R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)V^{1/2}(1-|V|^{1/2}R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)V^{1/2})^{-1}|V|^{1/2}R_0(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)W_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and a geometric series argument, we conclude that

$$\sup_{\substack{\lambda\in J\\ \epsilon\neq 0}} \|W_1R(\lambda+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)W_2\| \lesssim_J \frac{1+\|V\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}}{1-\|V\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}}\|W_1\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}\|W_2\|_{\mathcal{V}_q}.$$

By duality, this implies (3.2). □

[1]The minimum of $\rho(q)$ is attained at $q = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$.

3.2. **Unique continuation.** Recall the definition of the weak unique continuation property (w.u.c.p.): A partial differential operator $P(x,D)$ is said to have the w.u.c.p. if the following holds. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and connected, and assume that $P(x,D)u = 0$ in Ω where u is compactly supported in Ω. Then $u \equiv 0$ in Ω.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $d \geq 3$, $d \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$. Assume that $V \in L^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $H_0 + V$ has the w.u.c.p.*

The proof is a standard application (see e.g. [5]) of the following new Carleman estimate.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $d \geq 3$, $d \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$, and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval. There exists a constant $C_I > 0$ such that for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times I)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\operatorname{dist}(\tau^2, 2\mathbb{N}+n) \geq 1/2$, we have the estimate*

$$\|\mathrm{e}^{\tau x_d} u\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_I \|\mathrm{e}^{\tau x_d} H_0 u\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We follow the procedure of Jerison's [3] proof of the unique continuation theorem of Jerison and Kenig [4]. The proof is similar to [2, Theorem 1.2], except that we use the spectral projection estimates of Koch and Ricci [6] for the twisted Laplacian (2.1) instead of the spectral cluster estimates of Sogge [12]. We recall (a special case of) the main result in [6]:

$$\|P_k u\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{d}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|P_k u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{d}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}. \tag{3.7}$$

Adopting the notation of [2], we denote by G_τ the inverse of the conjugated operator

$$\mathrm{e}^{\tau x_d} H_0 \mathrm{e}^{-\tau x_d} = D_{x_d}^2 + 2\mathrm{i}\tau D_{x_d} - \tau^2 + H_{0,\perp}.$$

Using the eigenfunction expansion of $H_{0,\perp}$, we obtain

$$G_\tau f(x_\perp, x_d) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_\tau(x_d - y_d, \lambda_k) P_k f(x_\perp, y_d)\, \mathrm{d}y_d$$

where

$$m_\tau(x_d - y_d, \lambda_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(x_d - y_d)\eta}}{\eta^2 + 2\mathrm{i}\tau\eta - \tau^2 + \lambda_k^2}\, \mathrm{d}\eta.$$

Using the spectral projection estimates (3.6) and proceeding as in the proof of [2], we arrive at

$$\|G_\tau f(\cdot, x_d)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+2k)^{-\frac{1}{d}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |m_\tau(x_d - y_d, \lambda_k)| \|f(\cdot, y_d)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}\, \mathrm{d}y_d.$$

By the straightforward estimate

$$|m_\tau(x_d - y_d, \lambda_k)| \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-|\tau - \lambda_k||x_d - y_d|}}{\lambda_k},$$

see Lemma 2.3 in [2], one can sum up the previous estimates (estimate the sum by an integral and change variables $k \to \lambda = \sqrt{2k+n}$):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+2k)^{-\frac{1}{d}} |m_\tau(x_d - y_d, \lambda_k)| \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{d}} \mathrm{e}^{-|\tau - \lambda||x_d - y_d|}\, \mathrm{d}\lambda \lesssim 1 + |x_d - y_d|^{\frac{2}{d}-1}.$$

Thus

$$\|G_\tau f(\cdot, x_d)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \lesssim |I|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{d}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_d - y_d|^{\frac{2}{d}-1} \|f(\cdot, y_d)\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \, \mathrm{d}y_d.$$

An application of the one-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality yields

$$\|G_\tau f\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J.-C. Cuenin and C. Kenig. L^p resolvent estimates for magnetic Schrödinger operators with unbounded background fields. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2015.

[2] David Dos Santos Ferreira, Carlos E. Kenig, and Mikko Salo. Determining an unbounded potential from Cauchy data in admissible geometries. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 38(1):50–68, 2013.

[3] David Jerison. Carleman inequalities for the Dirac and Laplace operators and unique continuation. *Adv. in Math.*, 62(2):118–134, 1986.

[4] David Jerison and Carlos E. Kenig. Unique continuation and absence of positive eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 121(3):463–494, 1985. With an appendix by E. M. Stein.

[5] Carlos E. Kenig. Restriction theorems, Carleman estimates, uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation. In *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (El Escorial, 1987)*, volume 1384 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 69–90. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[6] Herbert Koch and Fulvio Ricci. Spectral projections for the twisted Laplacian. *Studia Math.*, 180(2):103–110, 2007.

[7] Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru. Carleman estimates and absence of embedded eigenvalues. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 267(2):419–449, 2006.

[8] E. Korotyaev and A. Pushnitski. A trace formula and high-energy spectral asymptotics for the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 217(1):221–248, 2004.

[9] Tomás Lungenstrass and Georgi Raikov. A trace formula for long-range perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 15(8):1523–1548, 2014.

[10] Alexander Pushnitski, Georgi Raikov, and Carlos Villegas-Blas. Asymptotic density of eigenvalue clusters for the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 320(2):425–453, 2013.

[11] George D. Raĭkov. Eigenvalue asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with homogeneous magnetic potential and decreasing electric potential. I. Behaviour near the essential spectrum tips. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 15(3):407–434, 1990.

[12] Christopher D. Sogge. Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 77(1):123–138, 1988.

[13] Terence Tao. *Nonlinear dispersive equations*, volume 106 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.

[14] Kenji Yajima. Schrödinger evolution equations with magnetic fields. *J. Analyse Math.*, 56:29–76, 1991.

Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 80333 Munich, Germany

E-mail address: cuenin@math.lmu.de